From Interaction to Intervention: An Approach for Keeping Humans in Control in the Context of sociotechnical Systems

Thomas Herrmann, Albrecht Schmidt and Martin Degeling

Institut für Arbeitswissenschaft Informations- und Technikmanagement

From continuous interaction to

RUB

intervention

Intervention User Interfaces: A New Interaction Paradigm for Automated Systems Schmidt, A., & Herrmann, T. (2017). *interactions*, 24(5), 40-45.



Decrease of granularity of control: self-controlled \rightarrow highly assisted \rightarrow completely automated + intervention



Thomas Herrmann

Interaction vs. Intervention

 \leftrightarrow

 $\leftarrow \rightarrow$

high granularity of steps

input leads to immediate response

Input as a regular event

intial step + occasional input

input starts

- preprogrammed steps
 Followed by
- implicit
- or context-based actions
- ← → Input as exceptional event



RUB

Transferring intervention to sociotechnical processes

- continuous monitoring and interaction (from inside or outside) is not appropriate in many cases:
- We have to deal with too many socio-technical processes
- no extra benefit if we stayed in a permanent loop of monitoring and interaction.
- Applies to
- Highly routinized and self regulated processes (processing of ecommerce orders)
- Processes that include automated technical systems (public transportation)
- Software driven workflows (claims settlement by insurances)



Characteristics of intervening usage

- There is no pre-specified plan when and whether it occurs; intervention happens exceptionally
- Interventions are only effective for a limited period of time.
- Interventions support the exploration of effects of variations
- Interventions can address automated technical systems as well as people who contribute to completing a routinized workflow.
- People must be able to start interventions fast enough by applying technical means or via communication so that the demanded effects take place in time.
- Situations that require intervention are emergent and contingent and contribute to the emergence of new patterns of behavior.
- In alternation with re-configuration, intervention cyclically helps to improve automated or routinized behavior.



Intervening usage and configuration





Principles for intervention design

- RUB
- Strive for consistency: intervention is possible in the case of experienced inconsistency; effect of intervention must meet expectations
- Enable frequent users to use shortcuts: immediate starting of an intervention with immediate effects; direct access not only to technology but also to people
- Offer informative feedback: need for intervention must be recognizable; effects of intervention are understandable / clearly communicated
- **Design dialogue to yield closure:** Intervention is an integrated means to be in control of complete and meaningful tasks
- Offer simple error handling: Intervention to avoid errors; robustness to avoid unsolicited effect of intervention



Summary: Intervention design is new



- Interventions do not just happen but are systematically supported
- It is not:
- A workaround (but accepted and promoted)
- EUD or Meta-Design (but before re-configuration)
- Exception handling within workflows (but initiated from outside).

